Summary of Clinical Trial Results

A study to look at whether different study medicine combinations worked and how safe they were when given to people with long-term hepatitis B virus infection

See the end of the summary for the full title of the study.

About this summary

This is a summary of some of the results of a clinical trial (called a 'study' in this document) called the PIRANGA Hepatitis B study.

This summary is written for:

- Members of the public
- People who are part of the PIRANGA Hepatitis B study

This summary is based on information known at the time of writing.

The PIRANGA study started in July 2020 and is planned to end in January 2025. It was decided that two of the groups (called 'Combo 1' and 'Combo 5') should be stopped early in October 2021. This was because information from other studies showed CpAM, the study medicine, did not work as well as expected. The study was not stopped early because CpAM caused too many unwanted effects.

This summary presents results that were analysed in March 2023. This includes complete results for the Combo 1 and Combo 5 groups and results for people who had finished treatment in the Control group.

No single study can tell us everything about the risks and benefits of a medicine. It takes lots of people in many studies to find out everything we need to know. The results from this part of the PIRANGA study may be different from other parts of the study and other studies with the same medicine.

- **This means that you should not make decisions based on this one summary – always speak to your doctor before making any decisions about your treatment**

Contents of the summary

Glossary

- HBV = hepatitis B virus
- NUC = nucleoside or nucleotide analogues

Thank you to the people who took part in this part of the study

The people who took part in this part of the PIRANGA study (known as 'participants') have helped researchers to answer important questions about hepatitis B virus (HBV) infections that are long-term and ongoing and the medicines studied – linvencorvir (CpAM), ruzotolimod (TLR7), xalnesiran (siRNA) – and standard-of-care medicines: entecavir (ETV), tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) and alafenamide disoproxil fumarate (TAF).

Key information about this part of the study

- The PIRANGA study looked at hepatitis B virus (HBV) and levels of a protein on the surface of HBV, called a 'surface antigen'. The PIRANGA study tested how well different combinations of existing medicines and study medicines lowered levels of HBV and its surface antigen so that they could not be detected on tests. Researchers also wanted to see how safe the medicines were
- This summary presents results analysed in March 2023. This includes complete results for the Combo 1 and Combo 5 groups and results for participants who completed the study in the Control group
- In this part of the study, participants continued their existing standard-of-care treatment, which were nucleoside or nucleotide analogues, called 'NUCs' (ETV, TAF or TDF). Some participants were also given study medicines, as follows:
    - **Control group:** NUC
    - **Combo 1 group:** NUC and the study medicines CpAM and TLR7
    - **Combo 5 group:** NUC and the study medicines CpAM and siRNA
- It was decided by chance which group each participant would join
- This study included 88 people in 7 countries
- Researchers decided that the Combo 1 and Combo 5 groups should be stopped early. This was because information from other studies of CpAM, the study medicine, showed it did not work as well as expected. The study was not stopped early because CpAM caused too many unwanted effects
- As these groups were stopped early, researchers could not see if the study medicines lowered the amount of HBV and its surface antigen to a level that could not be detected on tests
- 1 out of 38 people (3%) taking CpAM and TLR7 had a serious unwanted effect (flu-like illness) compared with 0 people taking CpAM and siRNA or NUC only
- At the time of writing this summary, the PIRANGA study is still happening. It is planned to end in January 2025

1. General information about this part of the study

Why is this study being done?

Hepatitis B is a liver infection caused by the hepatitis B virus (HBV). HBV is a virus that causes the liver to swell up and become inflamed. Some people with HBV are sick for only a few weeks (known as 'acute' infection), but for others, the disease progresses to a serious lifelong illness known as chronic HBV infection. Chronic means it is continuous for a long period of time. Long-term HBV infection increases a person's risk of developing liver cancer or cirrhosis. Cirrhosis is a condition that permanently scars the liver and prevents it from working properly.

HBV puts the instructions for making copies of itself (called 'DNA') in liver cells. This causes liver cells to make more HBV, including a part of the virus called 'surface antigen'. An antigen is a substance, like a piece of a virus or pollen, that the body recognises as foreign, which triggers a response of the immune system. The immune system is the body's natural defence, which protects the body from foreign or harmful substances such as bacteria and viruses.

In long-term HBV infections, removing all HBV DNA – known as a 'disease cure' – is currently not possible. But lowering the amount of HBV surface antigen until it cannot be detected on tests can stop the disease getting worse. This is known as a 'functional cure'.

Standard-of-care medicines are the accepted standard treatment usually given to people with a disease. Medicines that help fight viruses are called 'antivirals'. There are two types of antiviral standard-of-care medicines for long-term HBV infection:

- Nucleoside or nucleotide analogues, called 'NUCs'
- Pegylated interferons (long-acting interferons), called 'PEG-IFNα'

These medicines can reduce a person's level of HBV and the chance of liver problems, but:

- NUC treatments need to be taken long-term, sometimes for a person's whole life, and are not effective for everyone
- PEG-IFNα need to be taken for up to a year and can cause unwanted effects
- NUC and PEG-IFNα treatment result in a functional cure in only a small number of people with long-term HBV infection (about 1 in every 33 people [3%])

More effective medicines are needed to reduce the level of virus and cure people of long-term HBV infections.

The PIRANGA study is looking at how well standard-of-care medicines alone lower HBV surface antigen levels in the body compared with when they are taken with different antiviral medicine combinations. Researchers are also looking at how safe the medicine combinations are.

What were the study medicines in this part of the study?

The PIRANGA study is still ongoing. This summary includes only the results for the Combo 1 and Combo 5 groups from the PIRANGA study and participants who completed the study in the Control group.

Everyone in the PIRANGA study continued their existing standard-of-care medicines, which were NUCs (ETV, TAF, or TDF).

Some groups were also given study medicines to see if combinations of medicines worked better than standard-of-care medicines alone. Researchers compared NUC standard-of-care treatment on its own or with the medicines being studied so they could show which benefits or unwanted effects are actually caused by the medicines.

'CpAM'
- Also known as 'linvencorvir'. You say this as 'lin–VEHN–cor–ver'
- CpAM blocks HBV from making its outer shell. This stops more of the virus from being made
- This may mean that CpAM could lower the amount of HBV in the body

'TLR7'
- Also known as 'ruzotolimod'. You say this as 'ru–ZOH–toll–i–mod'
- TLR7 works by helping the immune system to fight the HBV
- This may mean TLR7 is able to help the immune system fight the HBV

'siRNA'
- Also known as 'xalnesiran'. You say this as 'zall–NI–sih–ran'
- siRNA works by stopping new HBV from being made
- This may mean that siRNA could lower the amount of HBV in the body

What did researchers want to find out?

- Researchers are doing the PIRANGA study to compare NUC standard-of-care medicines with or without different study medicines – to see how well different study medicine combinations work (see section 4 'What were the results of the groups?')
- They also wanted to find out how safe the study medicine combinations were – by checking how many people had unwanted effects and seeing how serious they were when taking each of the medicines during the study (see section 5 'What were the unwanted effects experienced in the groups?')

The main question that researchers wanted to answer was:

1. How many participants did not have any HBV surface antigen detected in their blood 6 months after their last dose of study medicines?

What kind of study was this?

The PIRANGA study is a 'Phase 2' study. This means that the safety of CpAM, TLR7 and siRNA had been tested in a number of people with and without long-term HBV infections before this study. In this part of the study, people with long-term HBV infections took NUC standard-of-care treatment on its own or with CpAM and either TLR7 or siRNA. This was to find out if treatment with NUC plus the study medicines was able to lower levels of HBV and its surface antigen better than NUC alone. They also wanted to find out how safe the study medicine combinations were.

The PIRANGA study is 'randomised'. This means that it was decided by chance which of the medicines people in the study would have. Randomly choosing which medicine people take makes it more likely that the types of people in each group (for example, age, race) will be a similar mix. Apart from the exact medicines being tested, all other aspects of care were the same between groups.

The PIRANGA study is also 'open-label'. This means everyone involved, including the person in the study and the study doctor, knew the study medicines the person was being given.

When and where did this part of the study take place?

The PIRANGA study started in July 2020 and is planned to end in January 2025. The Combo 1 and Combo 5 groups in the study were stopped early – in October 2021. This was because information from other studies of CpAM, the study medicine, showed it did not work as well as expected. The study was not stopped early because CpAM caused too many unwanted effects.



The symbol on the timeline ( ) show when information from the Combo 1, Combo 5 and Control groups were analysed – after around 3 years in March 2023.

This part of the study took place at 22 study centres – across 7 countries. The countries were: China, Hong Kong, New Zealand, Republic of Korea, Spain, Taiwan and Thailand.

2. Who took part in this part of the study?

In this study, 88 people with long-term HBV infections took part in the Combo 1, Combo 5 and Control groups and are included in this analysis.

People who took part were between 27 and 63 years of age. 67 of the 88 people (76%) were male and 21 of the 88 people (24%) were female.



People could take part in the study if they:

- Were aged 18 to 65 years old
- Had a HBV infection for at least 6 months that was controlled by NUC medicines
- Had been treated with NUC medicines for at least 12 months
- Had not changed to a different NUC medicine within 3 months of joining the study

People could not take part in the study if they:

- Had certain other medical conditions, such as scarring of the liver, heart disease or certain infections
- Had thyroid disease that was not controlled with medicines
- Had a history of or were likely to have liver cancer
- Were being or had recently been treated with certain other treatments, such as medicines for killing cancer cells (chemotherapy), reducing inflammation (corticosteroids) or medicines that affect the immune system
- Had been treated with another clinical study drug for HBV infection within 6 months of taking part in the study
- Were pregnant, breastfeeding or planned on becoming pregnant during the study or within 6 months after the last dose of study medicine
- Did not meet the criteria to join one of the groups

3. What happened during this part of the study?

During the PIRANGA study, people were selected by chance to go into 1 of up to 9 groups – including 1 Control group and 8 study medicine groups. The treatments were selected at random – by a computer. Selection also depended on which groups were open to new participants at the time they took part in the study and if participants met the criteria to join certain groups.

Only details of the Combo 1, Combo 5 and Control groups are included in this summary.

The treatments given to the Combo 1, Combo 5 and Control groups were:

- **NUC** (existing medicine) – continued to be taken as a pill to be swallowed once a day until certain criteria were met or the participant decided to stop treatment

AND

<u>Combo 1 group only</u>

- **CpAM** (the medicine being studied) – given as a pill to be swallowed once a day for up to 1 year (48 weeks) or until the last study treatment visit
- AND **TLR7** (the medicine being studied) – given as a pill to be swallowed once every other day during Weeks 1 to 12 and Weeks 25 to 36 only or until the last study treatment visit

<u>Combo 5 group only</u>

- **CpAM** (the medicine being studied) – given as a pill to be swallowed once a day for up to 1 year (48 weeks) or until the last study treatment visit
- AND **siRNA** (the medicine being studied) – given as an injection under the skin once a month for up to 1 year (48 weeks) or until the last study treatment visit

After people finished taking their medicine for this part of the study, they were asked to go back to their study centre for more visits – to check their overall health.

The study flow chart shows all stages for the Combo 1, Combo 5 and Control groups.



4. What were the results of the groups?

Question 1: How many participants did not have any HBV surface antigen in their blood 6 months after their last dose of study medicines?

The Combo 1 and Combo 5 groups were stopped early, so not enough information was collected to answer this question. Researchers could not see if the study medicines lowered the amount of HBV and its surface antigen to a level that cannot be detected on blood tests.

This section only shows the key results from this part of the study. You can find information about all other results from this part of the study on the websites at the end of this summary (see section 8).

5. What unwanted effects were experienced in the groups?

Unwanted effects are medical problems (such as feeling dizzy) that happen during the study.

- They are described in this summary because the study doctor believes the unwanted effects were related to the treatments in this part of the study
- Not all of the people in this part of the study had all of the unwanted effects
- Unwanted effects may be mild to very serious and can be different from person to person
- It is important to be aware that the unwanted effects reported here are from this part of the study. Therefore, the unwanted effects shown here may be different from those seen in other parts of the PIRANGA study or other studies, or those that appear on the medicine leaflet(s)
- Serious and common unwanted effects are listed in the following sections

Serious unwanted effects

An unwanted effect is considered 'serious' if it is life-threatening, needs hospital care, or causes lasting problems.

During this part of the study, 1 out of 87 people (1%) had at least one serious unwanted effect:

- 1 out of 38 people (around 3%) taking NUC, CpAM and TLR7 (Combo 1 group) had symptoms similar to those caused by flu that were considered a serious unwanted effect
- None of the people taking NUC, CpAM and siRNA (Combo 5 group) or NUC only (Control group) had a serious unwanted effect

No person in the Combo 1, Combo 5 or Control groups died due to unwanted effects that may have been related to one of the study medicines.

During this part of the study, some people decided to stop taking their medicine:

- In the Combo 1 group (NUC, CpAM and TLR7), 1 out of 38 people (3%) stopped taking their medicine because of unwanted effects
- In the Combo 5 group (NUC, CpAM and siRNA) no person stopped taking their medicine because of unwanted effects
- In the Control group (NUC only), 1 out of 30 people (3%) stopped taking their medicine because of unwanted effects

Most common unwanted effects

During this part of the study, around 9 of every 20 people (45%) overall had an unwanted effect that was not considered serious:



- 20 out of 38 people (53%) taking NUC, CpAM and TLR7 (Combo 1 group) had an unwanted effect that was not considered serious



- 16 out of 19 people (84%) taking NUC, CpAM and siRNA (Combo 5 group) had an unwanted effect that was not considered serious



- 3 out of 30 (10%) people taking NUC (Control group) had an unwanted effect that was not considered serious



The most common unwanted effects are shown in the following table – these are the 11 most common unwanted effects in this part of the study that affected 3 or more people in a group. Some people had more than one unwanted effect – this means that they are included in more than one row in the table. One person in the Control group was not included in the safety analysis because they did not receive any study medicines.

Most common unwanted effects reported in this part of the study	38 people total in the **Combo 1 group** (NUC, CpAM and TLR7)	19 people total in the **Combo 5 group** (NUC, CpAM and siRNA)	30 people total in the **Control group** (NUC only)
Symptoms similar to those caused by flu	32% (12 out of 38)	0% (0 out of 19)	0% (0 out of 30)
Fever	13% (5 out of 38)	5% (1 out of 19)	0% (0 out of 30)
Feeling tired or weak	8% (3 out of 38)	5% (1 out of 19)	0% (0 out of 30)
A reaction of the skin where it has been pricked with a needle to give a treatment	0% (0 out of 38)	16% (3 out of 19)	0% (0 out of 30)
Higher levels of a substance called 'alanine aminotransferase' in the blood that indicates liver damage	8% (3 out of 38)	42% (8 out of 19)	0% (0 out of 30)
Higher levels of a substance called 'aspartate aminotransferase' in the blood that indicates liver, heart and kidney damage	5% (2 out of 38)	26% (5 out of 19)	0% (0 out of 30)
Low levels of neutrophils in the blood – a type of white blood cell that helps the body fight infections	11% (4 out of 38)	0% (0 out of 19)	0% (0 out of 30)
Fewer white blood cells in the body than usual	11% (4 out of 38)	0% (0 out of 19)	0% (0 out of 30)
Higher levels of 'lipase' – a type of protein that breaks down fat	0% (0 out of 38)	16% (3 out of 19)	0% (0 out of 30)
Pain in the upper belly	0% (0 out of 38)	16% (3 out of 19)	0% (0 out of 30)
Pain or discomfort in the head	8% (3 out of 38)	5% (1 out of 19)	0% (0 out of 30)

Other unwanted effects

You can find information about other unwanted effects (not shown in the sections above) on the websites listed at the end of this summary – see section 8.

6. How has this part of the study helped research?

The information presented here is from part of the PIRANGA study of people with a long-term HBV infection. These results are from the Combo 1, Combo 5 and Control groups that were analysed in March 2023. These results helped researchers learn more about HBV infections and the medicines studied – NUC, CpAM, TLR7 and siRNA.

No single study can tell us everything about the risks and benefits of a medicine. It takes lots of people in many studies to find out everything we need to know. The results from this part of the study may be different from other parts of the PIRANGA study and other studies with the same medicine.

- **This means that you should not make decisions based on this one summary – always speak to your doctor before making any decisions about your treatment**

7. Are there plans for other studies?

Studies with siRNA are still happening. At the time of writing this summary, no more studies looking at CpAM and TLR7 are planned at the current time.

8. Where can I find more information?

You can find more information about this study on the websites listed below:

- https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/results/ NCT04225715
- https://forpatients.roche.com/en/trials/infectious-diseases/hbv/a-trial-to-evaluate-the-efficacy-and-safety-of-multiple-42976.html

Who can I contact if I have questions about this study?

If you have any further questions after reading this summary:

- Visit the ForPatients platform and fill out the contact form – https://forpatients.roche.com/en/trials/infectious-diseases/hbv/a-trial-to-evaluate-the-efficacy-and-safety-of-multiple-42976.html
- Contact a representative at your local Roche office

If you took part in this study and have any questions about the results:

- Speak with the study doctor or staff at the study hospital or clinic

If you have questions about your own treatment:

- Speak to the doctor in charge of your treatment

Who organised and paid for this study?

This study was organised and paid for by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd who have their headquarters in Basel, Switzerland.

Full title of the study and other identifying information

The full title of this study is: ‘A phase II, randomised, adaptive, open-label platform trial to evaluate efficacy and safety of multiple combination therapies in participants with chronic Hepatitis B’.

The study is known as ‘PIRANGA’.

- The protocol number for this study is: WV41073
- The ClinicalTrials.gov identifier for this study is: NCT04225715
- The EudraCT number for this study is: 2019-002086-35